UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

THOMAS A. SIMONIAN,	Case No.: 10-CV-05544-LHK			
Plaintiff, v.	 ORDER DEEMING FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT DISMISSED; FINDING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS 			
MONSTER CABLE PRODUCTS, INC.,) MOOT			
Defendant.))			

On March 14, 2011, Defendant Monster Cable Productions, Inc. filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiff Thomas Simonian's First Amended Complaint ("FAC") for False Patent Marking. Defendant's motion is set for hearing on June 2, 2011. On March 15, 2011, after Defendant filed its motion, the Federal Circuit issued a decision clarifying the pleading standard applicable to false marking claims under 35 U.S.C. § 292. In re BP Lubricants USA Inc., --- F.3d ----, 2011 WL 873147, Misc. No. 960 (Fed. Cir. Mar. 15, 2011). Pursuant to the Federal Circuit's recent decision, "Rule 9(b)'s particularity requirement applies to false marking claims and . . . a complaint alleging false marking is insufficient when it only asserts conclusory allegations that a defendant is a 'sophisticated company' and 'knew or should have known' that the patent expired." Id. at *1. In his opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss, Plaintiff appears to concede that the FAC is deficient under this standard. See Opp'n to Mot. to Dismiss at 2, ECF No. 74. Therefore, instead

Case No.: 10-CV-05544-LHK

ORDER DEEMING FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT DISMISSED; FINDING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS MOOT

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

1

2

3

of opposing	Defendant	's motion by	arguing that	the FAC	sufficiently	alleges	Defendant'	's intent t	C
deceive the	public, Plai	ntiff has file	d a motion f	or leave to	amend.				

In light of Plaintiff's apparent concession that the FAC is deficient under the Federal Circuit's recent decision in BP Lubricants and Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend, the Court hereby deems Plaintiff's FAC dismissed and finds that Defendant's motion to dismiss the FAC is moot. Accordingly, the Court vacates the hearing on Defendant's motion previously scheduled for June 2, 2011. Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend shall be heard on June 16, 2011, at 1:30 p.m. If the motion can be resolved without a hearing, the Court will notify the parties and vacate the motion hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 18, 2011

Jucy H. Koh United States District Judge